1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA		
2	TOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNSTEVANIA		
3	MUNIAUCTION, INC., t/d/b/a GRANT STREET GROUP, INC.,		
4	Plaintiff		
5	vs. Civil Action 01-1003		
	THOMSON CORPORATION, t/d/b/a		
6	THOMSON FINANCIAL and/or		
	THOMSON FINANCIAL MUNICIPALS		
7	GROUP and i-DEAL, LLC,		
•	Defendant.		
8			
	Transcript of Jury Trial Proceedings on Thursday, October 5,		
9	2006, United States District Court, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania,		
	before Gary L. Lancaster, District Judge.		
10	APPEARANCES:		
10	For the Plaintiff: Raymond P. Niro, Esq.		
11	Sally J. Wiggins, Esq.		
11	Douglas M. Hall, Esq.		
12			
12	John Janka, Esq.		
12	NIRO, SCAVONE, HALLER & NIRO		
13			
14	For the Defendants: James L. Quarles, III, Esq.		
	William G. McElwain, Esq.		
15	C. Colin Rushing, Esq.		
	WILMER, CUTLER, PICKERING		
16	HALE & DORR		
17			
- '			
18	Contract to the second of the		
10	Court Reporter: Juliann A. Kienzle, RMR, CRR		
19	Fifth Floor USPO & Courthouse		
	700 Grant Street		

file:///A /10-5-06a.txt	Case 2:01-cv-01003-GLL	Document 358	Filed 11/02/06	Page 2 of 12
20	Pittsburg (412) 261-	h, PA 15219 6122		
21	` '			
22	Proceedings recorded by		• •	
23	ript produced by compute	r-aided transcrip	uon.	
24				
25				
		2		
1 (Proce	eedings held in open cour	t; Thursday, Octo	ober 4, 2006.)	
2	THE CLERK: Good mo	rning, everybody	. It is now	
3 9:30 o	n Thursday, October 5th.	The jury in the	case of	
4 Muni	Auction versus Thomson I	Financial has bee	n sent out and	
5 we're	on the record just to estab	lish the exhibits	that will	
6 be sen	t to them for their review.			
7	Before we begin, I want	to memorialize a	directive	
8 from J	udge Lancaster. There w	ere some exhibit	s that were	
9 introd	aced during the course of	the trial, demons	strative in	
10 nature	e. At the Judge's direction	n, those exhibits a	and any	
11 other	exhibits that contain high	lights, any sort o	f	
12 highli	ghting, any sort of exhibi	ts with titles acro	oss the top	
13 have l	been removed and will no	t be sent to the ju	ary for their	
			_	

14 consideration. That is at the direction of Judge Lancaster.

- 15 Counsel is understanding of that?
- 16 MR. QUARLES: Yes, sir.
- 17 MS. WIGGINS: Yes, sir.
- 18 THE CLERK: The next thing I want to do is we have
- 19 a large number of exhibits. Both sides have gone through the
- 20 lists.
- 21 Ms. Wiggins, on behalf of the plaintiffs, have you
- 22 reviewed the exhibits that defendants have produced?
- 23 MS. WIGGINS: Yes, sir, I have.
- 24 THE COURT: Is there any objection to the ones that
- 25 have gone back?

- MS. WIGGINS: No, sir. 1
- THE CLERK: Mr. Quarles, on behalf of the 2
- 3 defendants, have you reviewed the exhibits of the plaintiffs?
- MR. QUARLES: We have. 4
- 5 THE COURT: Is there any objection to those that
- 6 have gone back?
- MR. QUARLES: None. 7
- THE CLERK: With that being said, the exhibits will 8

- 9 be introduced to the jury and we'll send it back for their
- 10 consideration.
- 11 MR. QUARLES: There is no objection other than
- 12 those that have already been made and rejected.
- 13 THE CLERK: Counsel, anything further?
- MS. WIGGINS: No.
- MR. QUARLES: No.
- 16 THE CLERK: Thanks very much. Thanks, everybody.
- 17 (Whereupon, there was a recess in the proceedings.)
- 18 (In-chambers telephone conference.)
- 19 THE COURT: At approximately 11:10 a.m., I received
- 20 the following handwritten note.
- "Dear Judge Lancaster, Page 38, Line 712. What is
- 22 the minimum permissible measure of damages set by patent law
- 23 in this case? Are you able to answer this?"
- Signed, the foreperson.
- Ms. Wiggins?

- 1 MS. WIGGINS: Are you asking me to respond?
- THE COURT: Yes.
- 3 MS. WIGGINS: I believe we have to ask the jury to

4 go back and look at the jury instructions in their entirety.

Page 5 of 12

- 5 That sets forth that a reasonable royalty -- I think it's up
- 6 to them to decide what the amount would be, if anything.
- 7 THE COURT: Mr. Quarles?
- 8 MR. QUARLES: I think the answer is the minimum
- 9 permissible damages is a reasonable royalty and they were
- 10 given those numbers by the two experts.
- 11 THE COURT: This is what I'm going to respond.
- "As set forth at Lines 712 through 722, the minimum
- 13 permissible measure of damages is a reasonable royalty. A
- 14 reasonable royalty is that amount which would have been agreed
- 15 to in a hypothetical negotiation between the parties, on the
- 16 assumption that the patent was valid and infringed. I cannot
- 17 tell you a dollar figure that represents a reasonable royalty
- 18 in this case. You are the ones who have to do this. You
- 19 should consider all the facts, circumstances and evidence and
- 20 apply the legal standards found in Section 6.6 and 6.6.1 of
- 21 the jury instructions and arrive at what you believe to be a
- 22 reasonable royalty in this case. If you, one, find for
- 23 plaintiff; and two, find that a reasonable royalty is the
- 24 appropriate measure of damages."

- 1 MS. WIGGINS: Your Honor, I think that's
- 2 appropriate.
- 3 THE COURT: Mr. Quarles.
- 4 MR. QUARLES: I think that's correct, Your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: That's what we'll send back. Thanks.
- 6 (End of in-chambers discussion.)
- 7 (In-chambers discussion.)
- 8 THE COURT: At 11:55, I received the following
- 9 handwritten question. "If we find for the plaintiff and we
- 10 have awarded lost profits, are we able to award a royalty as
- 11 well?"
- Ms. Wiggins?
- MS. WIGGINS: Your Honor, I would direct them to
- 14 the instruction that sets forth the -- or the verdict form.
- 15 THE COURT: Mr. Quarles?
- MR. QUARLES: The answer, I think the answer is no.
- 17 THE COURT: I'm going to respond as follows: "No.
- 18 In this case, you will award either lost profits or a
- 19 reasonable royalty, not both."

- 20 Okay?
- 21 MS. WIGGINS: Okay.
- MR. QUARLES: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: All right.
- 24 (End of in-chambers discussion.)
- 25 (Open court.)

- 1 THE COURT: Who is your foreperson?
- 2 Ma'am, I understand you've reached a verdict.
- 3 JURY FOREMAN: We have.
- 4 THE COURT: Hand it to Mr. Palus, please.
- 5 Mr. Palus, will you publish the verdict, please?
- 6 THE CLERK: And now this 5th day of October 2006,
- 7 the jury empanelled in the case of MuniAuction, Inc. versus
- 8 Thomson Corporation, i-Deal, LLC, Civil Action No. 01-1003,
- 9 returns the following verdict:
- 10 Question: Are the claims of the '099 patent
- 11 infringed?
- 12 As to Claim 1: Infringed.
- Claim 2: Infringed.

file:///A /10-5-06a.txt	Case 2:01-cv-01003-GLL Claim 9: Infringed.	Document 358	Filed 11/02/06	Page 8 of 12
15	Claim 14: Infringed.			
16	Claim 18: Infringed.			
17	Claim 20: Infringed.			
18	Claim 24: Infringed.			
19	Claim 31: Infringed.			
20	Claim 32: Infringed.			
21	Claim 36: Infringed.			
22	Claim 40: Infringed.			
23	Claim 42: Infringed.			
24	Claim 46: Infringed.			
25	Claim 56: Infringed.			
		7		
1	Question: Was Thomson	n's infringement o	of the '099	
2 pater	nt willful?			
3	Answer: Yes.			
4	Question: Are the infrin	ged claims of the	e '099	
5 pater	nt invalid because the inver	ntion would have	been obvious	
6 at the	e time the invention was m	ade?		
7	As to Claim 1: Not obvi	ous.		

Claim 2: Not obvious.

- 9 Claim 9: Not obvious.
- 10 Claim 14: Not obvious.
- 11 Claim 18: Not obvious.
- 12 Claim 20: Not obvious.
- Claim 24: Not obvious.
- 14 Claim 31: Not obvious.
- 15 Claim 32: Not obvious.
- 16 Claim 36: Not obvious.
- 17 Claim 40: Not obvious.
- 18 Claim 42: Not obvious.
- 19 Claim 46: Not obvious.
- 20 Claim 56: Not obvious.
- Question: What amount of lost profits damages, if
- 22 any, is MuniAuction entitled to?
- 23 Answer: \$38,482,008.00.
- THE COURT: Mr. Palus, will you poll the jury.
- THE CLERK: Juror No. 1, please stand.

- 1 Sir, is the verdict I've just read your verdict?
- 2 JUROR NO. 1: Yes.

file:///A /10-5-06a.txt	Case 2:01-cv-01003-GLL	Page 10 of 12
3	THE CLERK: Was it freely and voluntarily made?	· ·
4	JUROR NO. 1: Yes.	
5	THE CLERK: Thank you, sir. Please be seated.	
6	Juror No. 2, please stand.	
7	Ma'am, was the verdict I just read your verdict?	
8	JUROR NO. 2: Yes.	
9	THE CLERK: Was it freely and voluntarily made?	
10	JUROR NO. 2: Yes.	
11	THE CLERK: Thank you, ma'am.	
12	Juror, No. 3, please stand.	
13	Ma'am, was the verdict I've just read your verdict?	
14	JUROR NO. 3: Yes.	
15	THE CLERK: Was it freely and voluntarily made?	
16	JUROR NO. 3: Yes.	
17	THE CLERK: Thank you, ma'am.	
18	Juror No. 4, please stand.	
19	Ma'am, was the verdict I've just read your verdict?	
20	JUROR NO. 4: Yes.	
21	THE CLERK: Was it freely and voluntarily made?	
22	JUROR NO. 4: Yes.	
23	THE CLERK: Thank you, ma'am.	
24	Juror No. 5, please stand.	

25 Ma'am, was the verdict I've just read your verdict?

1	JUROR NO. 5: Yes.			
2	THE CLERK: Was it freely and voluntarily made?			
3	JUROR NO. 5: Yes.			
4	THE CLERK: Thank you, ma'am.			
5	Juror No. 6, please stand.			
6	Juror No. 6, was the verdict I've just read your			
7	7 verdict?			
8	JUROR NO. 6: Yes.			
9	THE CLERK: Was it freely and voluntarily made?			
10	JUROR NO. 6: Yes.			
11	THE CLERK: Thank you.			
12	Your Honor, the jury has been polled.			
13	THE COURT: With that, we will close the record and			
14	adjourn. I'll meet with the jury in chambers.			
15	(Court adjourned.)			
16				
17	CERTIFICATE			
18	CERTIFICATE			

Case 2:01-cv-01003-GLL Document 358 Filed 11/02/06 Page 12 of 12

19 I, Juliann A. Kienzle, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings 20 in the above-titled matter.

21 s/Juliann A. Kienzle

22 Juliann A. Kienzle, RMR, CRR

23

24